ANSI-ASC-C63[®] Interpretation Request Form

This form shall be used for submission of Interpretation Requests related to ANSI-IEEE standards that are within the responsibility of ANSI-ASC-C63[®]. The eight parts of the form must be filled out completely, with the exception of the Subcommittee Response, to ensure expedient processing. This completed form is to be submitted to the <u>Secretary of ANSI-ASC-C63[®]</u> via e-mail.

Submission Date	Originator Name, Company	
06/09/2020	Carlos Juarez, Com-Power Corporation	

Standard	Clause/ Sub clause	Paragraph Figure/	Type (General/	Comment / Inquiry	Subcommittee Response (to be filled in by Subcommittee Chair)
		Table	Technical/ Editorial)		

Standard	Clause/	Paragraph	Туре	Comment / Inquiry	Subcommittee Response
	Sub clause	Figure/ Table	(General/		(to be filled in by Subcommittee Chair)
		Table	Editorial)		
C63.5 -	J.2.1.2(d)		Technical	We are having trouble determining the size of the	
2017				ground plane for our Antenna Calibration Site.	The specifics for a prescriptive size were removed
					from the 2017 edition to move the focus to be on
				In the 2006 version of ANSI C63.5, there were	meeting the requirements of J.2.1.3 and J.4. It is up
				seven specific requirements for a Standard Antenna	to the designer of the site to determine specific site
				Calibration Site (SACS). One of the requirements	dimensions. The user of the ACS must be able to
				[H.2(3)] was that the size of "the ground plane shall	demonstrate that the site meets the requirements as
				another requirement [H 2/6]) stated that "the	covered in Annex J.
				$[another requirement [\Pi, Z(0)]$ stated that the	The sizes listed are guidelines and we reference the
				around plane or at least 20 meters from every edge	user to C63.7 Guide for Construction of Test Sites for
				of the ground plane "	Performing Radiated Emission Measurements Table
					1 in C63.7 presents only the $(n=1)$ Fresnel zones for
				Now, in the 2017 version of ANSI C63.5, the SACS is	different configurations. These are based on analysis
				now called an Antenna Calibration Site (ACS), and	by Ed Bronaugh and others. In Bronaugh's paper he
				the two specific requirements mentioned above have	gives other boundaries for larger n values (Tx=2,
				been removed, and the only remaining size-related	Rx=4, sep=10m). Please note, the n=2 zone is roughly
				requirement that I see [J.2.1.2(d)] states that "the	equivalent to the $20m \times 17.3m$ dimension stated in
				ground plane shall contain the Fresnel ellipse for the	original question. This is referenced in J.2.1.2(d).
				distance tested", with the Tx and Rx locations being	
				the foci of the ellipse. This new requirement is not as	Although the ground plane size is important, the most
				well-defined as its predecessor, as there is no	important requirement is that the site meet the
				mention as to which (first, second, third, etc.) Freshel	performance criteria defined Annex J of C63.5.
				(2005) indirectly defines the Fresnel ellipse for a 10	It is not the intent of this standard to guarantee any
				(2005) Indirectly defines the Fresher empse for a 10	accreditation acceptance based on the size of the site
				dimensions of 16.3m (major) AND 13m	alone
				(minor) ANSI C63 7 and ANSI C63 4 both define	
				the obstruction free area, also in the shape of an	
				ellipse (but no mention of Fresnel) for a 10 meter site	
				to be 20m by 17.3m.	
				Our take on this was to use the larger of the two sets	
				of dimensions described above as the size of our	
				ground plane.	
				Are we correct to say that if our Site is at least 20m	
				by 17.3 m we should not having any issues with	
				accreditation?	